## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EUGENE DISTRICT

1791A CE-03-36 OR 59177

### CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

**Exception Criteria Review Checklist** 

Proposed Action: Acceptance of Donation of Parcel along the McKenzie River

Review the proposed action against each of the ten criteria listed below. If the project meets one or more of the criteria, it is an exception from categorical exclusion and MUST be analyzed in an EA or EIS. To qualify as a Categorical Exclusion the proposed action may not meet any of the criteria. If the criterion does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any mitigation measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and conditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be identified at the bottom of the page.

Exception Criteria		<u>Comments</u>		
1.	Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety	No		
2.	Have adverse effects on unique resources (i.e., parks, recreation, refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, wetlands, flood plains, etc.)	No		
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects	No		
4.	Have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks	No		
5.	Establish a precedent that could result in significant impacts	No		
6.	Be directly related to other actions having cumulatively significant effects	No		
7.	Have adverse effects on cultural or historical resources			
8.	Have adverse effects on species listed or proposed as threatened or endangered or have adverse effect on designated critical habitat for these species.			
9.	Require compliance with E.O. 11988 (flood plain management), E.O. 11990 (protection of wetlands), or the Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act	No		
10.	Threaten to violate Federal, State, Local or Tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment	No		
Mitigation measures needed to qualify as CE: None.				
Reviewed By: Christie Hardenbrook Date: 10/15/03				

Reviewed By:	Christie Hardenbrook	Date:	10/15/03	
Above mitigation	measures have been adopt	ed and will be implemented.		_
Field Manager	Emily Rice	Date:	10/17/03	

OR 59177 OR-090-CE-03-36

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

### DECISION RECORD CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

### Background:

The McKenzie River Trust has acquired a 5.97 Acre tract of land along the McKenzie River from J. H. Baxter & Co., and wants to donate the tract to the BLM. The parcel to be donated consists of a strip of land north of Deerhorn Road and south of the McKenzie River, the eastern tip of Goat Island and a smaller unnamed island to the east, all located in Section 19, T. 17 S., R. 1 E., W.M. A map showing the location of the proposed donation is attached.

<u>Proposed Action</u>: The proposed action is acceptance of the donation of fee ownership of 5.97 acres of land pursuant to the authority of Section 307 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1737). The land will be managed for recreation and aquatic resources. The subject donation will result in the transfer of land ownership to the United States of America. No specific plans to change the management of the subject lands have been developed. Any changes or projects proposed in the future will undergo NEPA analysis as they arise.

<u>Decision</u>: It is my decision to recommend to the State Director that she approve acceptance of the donation of fee ownership of 5.97 Acres of land from McKenzie River Trust land pursuant to the authority of Section 307 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1737). The land will be managed for recreation and aquatic resources and will be assigned a Land Use Allocation (LUA) of riparian reserve under the Eugene Resource Management Plan

Rationale: The proposed action meets the criteria for the categorical exclusion in departmental manual 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4E(15)(Transfer of land or interest in land to or from other Bureaus or Federal agencies where current management will continue and future changes in management will be subject to the NEPA process.), and none of the exceptions in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the "Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl" (April 1994), and the "Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan" (May 1995).

Prepared by: Cheryl Ac	cock
Environmental Coordina	tor: Christie Hardenbrook
Field Manager: Emily F	Rice